

# TONBRIDGE & MALLING BOROUGH COUNCIL

## CABINET

26 March 2013

### Report of Director of Central Services

#### Part 1- Public

#### Matters for Information

##### 1 Local indicators - 2012/13

**This report presents the results for the third quarter, and cumulatively for the first three-quarters, of the current financial year for those local indicators that are monitored regularly. These indicators have been substantially updated since the last financial year so that they more closely align with the Council's key priorities for 2012/15.**

##### 1.1 Indicators

###### 1.1.1 Types of indicator:

- KPIs are key performance indicators - these are related to key priorities and have targets.
- KIs are key indicators - these are the same as KPIs but without targets.
- PIs - performance indicators - these are not related to key priorities but they do have targets.

##### 1.2 Results

1.2.1 This report presents the indicator results for the third quarter, and cumulatively for the first three-quarters of 2012/13, from 1 April to 31 December 2012. It reviews the long term trends in performance, compares results against targets for the current financial year to date and identifies any markedly positive or negative results based on the latest figures.

1.2.2 The results are presented in Annex 1, an A3 colour document circulated along with the main papers as a separate document:

- Longer term performance based on colour coding is shown in the "Trend" column. Green shows improving performance and red shows deteriorating performance. The year of the earliest available directly comparable data is also shown.

- A numerical index and colour coding are used to compare the result and target in the “Target achieved/on profile” column. The higher the index number the better. An index of 100 or more with a green background shows target achieved. An index of less than 100 with a red background shows target not achieved. Where performance is affected by a seasonal or other profile, no index value is calculated and the colour coding is determined by the service manager.

#### 1.2.3 In overall terms, we:

- Achieved an improving/positive trend for 16 out of the 22 indicators for which trend information is available.
- Met our targets for 19 of the 33 indicators monitored in the first three-quarters of 2012/13.
- Identified two indicators showing an unusually positive result and three indicators showing an unusually negative result in the third quarter of 2012/13.

### 1.3 Legal Implications

#### 1.3.1 None

### 1.4 Financial and Value for Money Considerations

1.4.1 Quarterly reporting and review of local indicators provides a significant opportunity to influence and improve performance thereby achieving our targets and a positive trend and, in the process, also improving value for money.

### 1.5 Risk Assessment

1.5.1 The targets for the local indicators are designed to ‘stretch’ the organisation and they are extremely challenging. Hence, although each individual target is realistic, collectively there is therefore a real risk that some targets will not be achieved. Quarterly reporting enables managers and Members to influence performance regularly during the year and reduce this risk.

Background papers:  
Nil

contact: Bruce Hill

Adrian Stanfield  
Director of Central Services